UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/698,108	10/31/2003	Michael Altenhofen	13909-055001 / 2000E00019	8924
32864 FISH & RICHA	7590 09/16/201 ARDSON, P.C.	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 1022			JACKSON, JENISE E	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2439	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/16/2010	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATDOCTC@fr.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/698,108	ALTENHOFEN, MICHAEL		
Examiner-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	JENISE E. JACKSON	2439		
All Participants:	Status of Application:			
(1) <u>JENISE E. JACKSON</u> .	(3)			
(2) <u>Ryan McCarthy</u> .	(4)			
Date of Interview: <u>12 August 2010</u>	Time:			
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)			
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed:				
Claims discussed: 36, 42				
Prior art documents discussed:				
David III				
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER	DAL NATUDE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:		
See Continuation Sheet	VAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED.		
Part III.				
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>				
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if approp	oriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner contacted the Attorney of record, Ryan McCarthy, because claim 36 was directed to non-statutory subject matter. The Applicant agreed to an Examiner Amendment to include non-transitory. Claim 42 claimed a method, and was dependent from claim 36 which claims a computer program product. However, due to the new art rejection the application is not yet in condition for allowance and thus a 101 rejection on claims 36-42 is included in the office action.